

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
SAN ANTONIO DIVISION

PETER KEPPARD, JR., TRINIDAD	§	
AVILA, ALONSO ROBLES, GUILLERMO	§	
TREVINO, HUMBERTO CASTILLO,	§	
HECTOR A VALLEJO, and HECTOR	§	
VALLEJO, On Behalf of Themselves and	§	
All Others Similarly Situated,	§	CAUSE NO.: 5:15-cv-00625-OLG
	§	
PLAINTIFFS	§	
	§	
v.	§	
	§	
C. C. FORBES, LLC,	§	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
FORBES ENERGY SERVICES, LTD, and	§	
FORBES ENERGY SERVICES, LLC	§	
	§	
DEFENDANTS	§	

**UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE RESPONSE**  
**IN EXCESS OF THE PAGE LIMIT**

TO THE HONORABLE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT:

Now come Defendants, C.C. Forbes LLC, Forbes Energy Services Ltd and Forbes Energy Services LLC, who move this Court to allow Defendants' Brief Opposing Plaintiffs' Opposed Motion for Conditional Certification and Notice to Putative Class Members to exceed 10 pages in length and in support states the following:

1. Plaintiffs' Opposed Motion for Conditional Certification and for Notice to Putative Class Members (Dkt. 30) was filed on October 29, 2015.
2. By agreement, Defendants' response to Plaintiff's motion is due November 13, 2015 and is therefore being filed along with this unopposed motion. An Advisory was filed

with the Court confirming the agreed extension. (Dkt. 33)

3. This is a collective action case which involves numerous parties, claims and defenses. Plaintiffs' opposed Motion for conditional certification in this case was nearly 200 pages in length including exhibits. In order to fully brief the Court on the most relevant issues presented by Plaintiffs' Motion, Defendants' Brief in Opposition must contain more than 10 pages. Defendants' counsel has conferred with Plaintiffs' counsel by telephone and counsel for Plaintiffs' is not opposed to Defendants' response exceeding the Court's page limit.

Wherefore, premises considered, Defendants request that this motion be granted and that Defendants be granted leave to allow their response to exceed 10 pages but not be more than 20 pages.

Respectfully submitted,

HOLLAND & HOLLAND, LLC  
North Frost Center  
1250 N.E. Loop 410, Suite 808  
San Antonio, Texas 78209  
Telephone: (210) 824-8282  
Facsimile: (210) 824-8585

BY 

Michael L. Holland  
State Bar No. 09850750  
[mholland@hollandfirm.com](mailto:mholland@hollandfirm.com)  
Inez M. McBride  
State Bar No. 13331700  
[imcbride@hollandfirm.com](mailto:imcbride@hollandfirm.com)  
Attorneys for Defendants

#### CERTIFICATE OF CONFERENCE


As stated above, Defendants' counsel has conferred with Plaintiffs' counsel by telephone today and Plaintiffs' counsel does not oppose this response motion exceeding ten (10) pages but not more than twenty (20) pages.

  
Michael L. Holland 

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

A true and correct copy of the above and foregoing instrument has been properly delivered pursuant to the *Federal Rules of Civil Procedure*, on the 13 day of November, 2015, to the following counsel of record:

Carlos A. Solis  
Attorney at Law  
310 S. St. Mary's St., Suite 2900  
San Antonio, Texas 78205

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Michael L. Holland  
Inez McBride

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
SAN ANTONIO DIVISION

PETER KEPPARD, JR., TRINIDAD §  
AVILA, ALONSO ROBLES, GUILLERMO §  
TREVINO, HUMBERTO CASTILLO, §  
HECTOR A VALLEJO, and HECTOR §  
VALLEJO, On Behalf of Themselves and §  
All Others Similarly Situated, §

PLAINTIFFS §

v. §

C. C. FORBES, LLC, §  
FORBES ENERGY SERVICES, LTD, and §  
FORBES ENERGY SERVICES, LLC §

DEFENDANTS §

CAUSE NO.: 5:15-cv-00625-OLG

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

**ORDER GRANTING UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR LEAVE  
TO FILE RESPONSE IN EXCESS OF THE PAGE LIMIT**

On this day the Court considered Defendants, C.C. Forbes, LLC, Forbes Energy Services, Ltd and Forbes Energy Services, LLC's Unopposed Motion for Leave to File Response in Excess of the Page Limit. After considering the notice, pleadings, and taking into consideration the agreement of the parties to extend Defendants' page limit, the Court is of the opinion that it should be extended as requested. Accordingly, it is hereby

ORDERED that Defendants' response to Plaintiffs' Opposed Motion for Conditional Certification and Notice to Putative Class Members is permitted to exceed the ten (10) page limit but not be more than twenty (20) pages.

---

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE